Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 7/10/2015 3:00:23 PM Filing ID: 92756 Accepted 7/10/2015 ORDER NO. 2578

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Before Commissioners: Robert G. Taub, Acting Chairman;

Tony Hammond, Vice Chairman;

Mark Acton;

Ruth Y. Goldway; and Nanci E. Langley

Competitive Product Prices
Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with
Foreign Postal Operators 1
Hongkong Post – United States Postal Service
Bilateral Agreement (MC2010-34)
Negotiated Service Agreement

Docket No. CP2015-91

ORDER APPROVING ADDITIONAL FOREIGN POSTAL OPERATORS 1 NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

(Issued July 10, 2015)

I. INTRODUCTION

The Postal Service seeks to include the inbound portion of a bilateral agreement with Hongkong Post (Agreement) within the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 (MC2010-34) product (Foreign Postal Operators 1). For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the Postal Service's request.

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing Functionally Equivalent Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with a Foreign Postal Operator, June 30, 2015 (Notice).

II. BACKGROUND

Agreements included within the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product offer negotiated prices for delivery in the United States of items entered by foreign postal operators, such as Inbound Parcel Post, Inbound Direct Entry, and Inbound Priority Mail Express International, as well as certain ancillary services used in conjunction with such products. The instant Agreement with Hongkong Post offers negotiated prices for the delivery in the United States of Inbound Parcel Post (air parcels) and Inbound Priority Mail Express International. It is the successor to the Agreement with Hong Kong Post that the Commission approved in Order No. 1948 (CP2014-21) that expired on June 30, 2015. *Id.* at 3.

On June 30, 2015, in accordance with 39 C.F.R. § 3015.5, the Postal Service filed its Notice, along with supporting documents. In the Notice, the Postal Service asserts that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement for the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product and requests that the Agreement be added to the existing Foreign Postal Operators 1 product. Notice at 4. The Postal Service notes that in Order No. 840, the Commission accepted the Koninklijke TNT Post BV and TNT Post Pakketservice Benelux BV that was the subject of Docket No. CP2010-95 "as the baseline agreement for functional equivalency analysis of the Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product." *Id.* at 2.

Among the supporting documents in the instant docket, the Postal Service included a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product, the Agreement proposed to be added to the product, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a), and financial workpapers. In addition, the Postal Service submitted an application for non-public treatment of materials requesting that unredacted portions of the Agreement, customer-identifying information, and related financial information remain under seal. *Id.*, Attachment 4.

The Agreement is intended to take effect on the date of Postal Service's notification to Hongkong Post that all approvals of the terms and pricing of the Agreement have been obtained. *Id.*, Attachment 1 at 1. It is set to expire after one year

from the effective date unless terminated sooner pursuant to other provisions of the Agreement. *Id.* at 7.

In Order No. 2557, the Commission provided public notice of the Postal Service's filing; established the instant docket for consideration of the filing's consistency with applicable statutory policies and Commission regulations; appointed a Public Representative; and provided an opportunity to comment.²

III. COMMENTS

The Public Representative filed comments on July 9, 2015.³ The Commission received no other comments. Based upon his review of the Postal Service's filing, including the information filed under seal with the Commission, the Public Representative concludes that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement for the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product and that the Agreement's negotiated rates are likely to generate sufficient revenues to cover attributable costs. PR Comments at 3.

IV. COMMISSION ANALYSIS

The Commission's responsibilities in this case are to ensure that the Agreement: (1) is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement established for the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product; and (2) satisfies the requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 3633 and applicable Commission rules (39 C.F.R. §§ 3015.5 and 3015.7).

Functional equivalence. In Order No. 546, the Commission added the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product to the competitive product list and established baseline

² Notice and Order Concerning Additional Foreign Postal Operators 1 Negotiated Service Agreement, July 1, 2015 (Order No. 2557).

³ Public Representative Comments on Postal Service Notice Concerning Additional Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with Foreign Postal Operators 1 Negotiated Service Agreement (With Hongkong Post), July 9, 2015 (PR Comments).

agreements for assessing the functional equivalence of agreements proposed for inclusion within the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product.⁴

The Postal Service asserts that its filing demonstrates that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement, and requests that the Agreement be included within the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product. Notice at 2. It asserts that the Agreement fits within the draft Mail Classification Schedule language for the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product. *Id.* at 4. The Postal Service also asserts that the Agreement and the baseline agreement possess similar cost and market characteristics and the same functional terms, but states that prices may differ. *Id.* at 5-7. The Postal Service identifies numerous differences between the Agreement and the baseline agreement, but asserts that these differences do not affect the fundamental service being offered or the fundamental structure of the Agreement.⁵

The Commission has reviewed the Postal Service's reasons for asserting that the Agreement shares similar cost and market characteristics with the baseline agreement; meets the pricing formula and falls within the classification established in the Governors' Decision authorizing this product; and comports with 39 U.S.C. § 3633 and applicable Commission rules. It also has considered the Public Representative's comments. The Commission concludes that the Agreement is substantially similar to the baseline agreement and that the differences between them do not fundamentally alter either the service the Postal Service will provide under the Agreement or the structure of the Agreement. The Commission therefore finds that the Agreement may be included within the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product.

⁴ Docket Nos. MC2010-34 and CP2010-95, Order Adding Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 to the Competitive Product List and Approving Included Agreement, September 29, 2010, at 9 (Order No. 546). The agreement was the Koninklijke TNT Post BV and TNT Post Pakketservice Benelux BV (TNT Agreement). *Id.* at 1-2. *See also* Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classifications for Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators (Governors' Decision

No.10-3), August 6, 2010.

⁵ Differences include, among others, revisions to existing articles, the deletion of an article relating to guiding principles of the agreement, the renumbering of several articles, and the inclusion of new articles. *Id.* at 5-7.

Cost considerations. The Commission reviews each competitive product to ensure that it covers its attributable costs, does not cause market dominant products to subsidize competitive products as a whole, and contributes to the Postal Service's institutional costs. 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a); 39 C.F.R. §§ 3015.5 and 3015.7. As long as the revenue generated by a product exceeds its attributable costs, the product is unlikely to reduce the contribution of competitive products as a whole or to adversely affect the ability of competitive products as a whole to contribute an appropriate share of institutional costs. In other words, a product that covers its attributable costs is likely to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a).

The Commission has reviewed the Postal Service's filing, including supporting financial analyses provided under seal, and the Public Representative's comments. Based on this review, the Commission finds that the Agreement should cover its attributable costs. Consequently, the Commission finds that the addition of the Agreement to the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product should allow the product to continue to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(2). Because it finds that the addition of the Agreement to the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product should allow the product to cover its attributable costs, the Commission finds that the addition of the Agreement to the product should not result in competitive products as a whole being subsidized by market dominant products, in accordance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(1). Similarly, it finds the addition of the Agreement to the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product is unlikely to prevent competitive products as a whole from contributing an appropriate share of institutional costs, consistent with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(3). Accordingly, a preliminary review of the Agreement indicates it is consistent with section 3633(a). The Commission will review the Agreement's cost coverage, the cost coverage of the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product and the contribution of competitive products as a whole to the Postal Service's institutional costs in its Annual Compliance Determination to ensure that they continue to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a).

Other considerations. The Agreement is intended to take effect on the date of Postal Service's notification to Hongkong Post that all approvals of the terms and pricing

Docket No. CP2015-91

- 6 -

of the Agreement have been obtained. *Id.*, Attachment 1 at 1. The Postal Service shall promptly notify the Commission of the effective date of the Agreement. The contract is set to expire after one year from the effective date. *Id.* at 7. If the Agreement is

terminated prior to the scheduled expiration date, the Postal Service shall promptly file

notice of such termination with the Commission in this docket.

V. ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

It is ordered:

1. The Agreement filed in Docket No. CP2015-91 is included within the Inbound

Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with Foreign Postal Operators 1 (MC2010-

34) product. The revision to the Mail Classification Schedule appears below the

signature of this Order and is effective immediately.

2. The Postal Service shall promptly notify the Commission of the effective date of

the Agreement.

3. The Postal Service shall promptly file notice of the Agreement's termination with

the Commission in this docket if the Agreement is terminated prior to the

scheduled expiration date.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams
Acting Secretary

The following material represents a change to the Mail Classification Schedule. The Commission uses two main conventions when making changes to the Mail Classification Schedule. New text is underlined. Deleted text is struck through.

Part B—Competitive Products 2000 Competitive Product List

2500 *****	Negotiated Service Agreements
2515 *****	Inbound International
2515.10	Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreement with Foreign Postal Operators

2515.10.5	Products Included in Group (Agreements)

Each product is followed by a list of agreements included within that product.

Inbound Competitive Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1

Baseline Reference

Docket Nos. MC2010-34 and CP2010-95 PRC Order No. 546, September 29, 2010

Included Agreements

Hongkong Post, CP2015-91, expires TBD
